### Case 2:10-cv-02042-CDJ Document 11 Filed 08/23/10 Page 1 of 3

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

MICHAEL OSEI,

Plaintiff,

 $\mathbb{V}$ .

TEMPLE UNIVERSITY-OF THE COMMONWEALTH SYSTEM OF HIGHER EDUCATION, ET AL,

Dr. Mia K. Luehrmann Dr. Robert J. Levis Dr. Grant Krow Ms. Andrea C. Seiss

Defendants.

AUG 2 3 2010

MICHAELE. KUNZ, Clerk By Dep. Clerk

CIVIL ACTION NO. 10-2042-CDJ

## Osei's Motion for Leave to File Amended Complaint

Plaintiff, Michael Osei, ("Osei") respectfully requests this Court for Leave to file Amended Complaint. (Osei also anticipates having an attorney represent him in September 2010). Unlike Osei, who has provided factually substantial exhibits to the court through the Complaint (Doc. No.1), Response (Doc.No.6) and Sur-Reply (Doc.No.10), Temple did not provide even one factual document as exhibit to their motions to this Court. While there are no interrogatories, depositions, (in complete) discovery, summary judgments, etc., a complaint can be amended.

Respectfully submitted by,

Michael Osei, Pro Se

Dated: Monday, August 23, 2010.

# Case 2:10-cv-02042-CDJ Document 11 Filed 08/23/10 Page 2 of 3 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

MI		ARI	OSEI.
A 2 2	€ H X	/* X E . E .	- ペントリ及しなべ

Plaintiff.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 10-2042-CDJ

TEMPLE UNIVERSITY-OF THE COMMONWEALTH SYSTEM OF HIGHER EDUCATION, ET AL,

V.

Dr. Mia K. Luehrmann Dr. Robert J. Levis Dr. Grant Krow Ms. Andrea C. Seiss

Defendants.

ORDER DENYING TEMPLE'S MOTION TO DISMISS COMPLAINT WITHOUT
PREJUDICE, AND GRANTING OSEI'S LEAVE TO FILE AMENDED COMPLAINT.

AND NOW, this \_\_\_\_ day of\_\_\_\_, 2010, upon consideration of Complaint (Doc. No.1) by Plaintiff, Michael Osei v. Temple University- Of the Commonwealth System of Higher Education, Defendants ("Temple") --Dr. Mia K. Luehrmann, Dr. Robert J. Levis, Ms. Andrea C. Seiss, and Dr. Grant Krow; Temple's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint (Doc. No.3); Osei's Amended Brief of Law and Facts in Opposition thereto (Doc. No.6); Temple's Reply Brief of Law in Further Support of their Motion (Doc. No.8); Osei's Sur-reply Brief of Law and Facts Strongly Opposing Temple's Reply thereto (Doc.No.10), and Osei's Motion for Leave to file Amended Complaint, it is hereby ORDERED that: Temple's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint is DENIED without Prejudice, and Osei is Granted Leave to Amend Complaint.

BY	THECOURT:	NAME OF THE PARTY
----	-----------	---

The Honorable C. Darnell Jones II

USDC JUDGE

#### Certificate of Service

- I, Michael Osei, hereby certify that a true copy of
  - Osei's Motion for Leave to File Amended Complaint

was submitted to the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, dated

August 23, 2010. This was served by email and U. S. First Class mail to:

Attorney on record for defendants: Temple University- of the Commonwealth System of Higher Education, whose email and postal address appears as follows:

Edward J. Heffernan, Esquire RUBIN, FORTUNATO &HARBISON, P.C 10 South Leopard Road Paoli, PA 19301

eheffernan@rubinfortunato.com.

A separate email to inform him about the filing would be sent as well, to

Respectfully Submitted by,

Michael Osei, *Pro se* 3016 North Broad Street Philadelphia, PA 19132

mikeosei@gmail.com (405)-612-2848

Dated: August 23, 2010